United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,972	02/05/2004	Harry S. Edelman	169.12-0619	5211
164 KINNEY & LA	7590 - 07/31/2007 NGE, P.A.	•	EXAMINER	
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING			EVANS, JEFFERSON A	
	312 SOUTH THIRD STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/772,972	EDELMAN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jefferson Evans	2627		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH	(S) OP THIRTY (30) DAVS		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsins of the major of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period our to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	pril 2007.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12 and 14-27</u> is/are pending in	the application.			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8,20 and 24-27 is/a	• •	1.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-6, 9-12, 14, 17-19, and 21-23	is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to.	•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No		
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

Claims 1, 2, 4-12, and 14-27 are pending.

Claims 7, 8, 20, and 24-27 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhu (U.S. 6,870,706). Zhu discloses a transducing head (figure 1) including a reader (2) and a writer (4) with insulating material (12) therebetween. Zhu discloses that the writer is electrically connected to a substrate in the form of a slider (note column 7 lines 23 and 24, and lines 38 to 41) to be grounded. The substrate is conductive (column 8 line 6). The writer being connected to ground will mean that the functional language set forth in claim 10 will be met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-6, 11, 12, 14, 17-19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu. Zhu discloses providing a resistor between the reader and the substrate (column 8 – lines 56 to 63) but does not expressly disclose that a resistor is between the writer and the substrate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a resistor between the writer and substrate of Zhu. The motivation would have been: advantages of having such a resistor between the reader and substrate, such as enabling control of the current along the conductive path between the reader and the substrate, would also have been wholly applicable and advantageous when applied between the writer and the substrate.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu in view of Hirano et al (U.S. 6,853,517) and/or Hanchi et al (U.S. 6,967,805). Zhu does not appear to expressly disclose that his disk is grounded.

Both Hirano (column 1 – lines 55 to 60) and Hanchi (column 3 – lines 10 and 11) teach that both slider and disk should be grounded.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have both the disk and slider of Zhu grounded. The motivation would have been: to do so was common in the art to keep the disk and slider at the same potential and thereby reduce the chance of electrostatic discharge damaging the head and/or the disk.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Response to Arguments filed 4-19-2007

A...On page $9 - 3^{rd}$ paragraph, applicant contends that Zhu fails to disclose or teach that the reader and a writer core are electrically isolated from one another and are electrically linked through the overcoat 21.

In response, it is noted that the claim 1 – lines 5 to 7, read "an electrically insulating material, wherein the reader and the writer core are electrically isolated from one another by the electrically insulating material". The Examiner's position is that Zhu meets that language because insulating material 12 does act to separate and electrically isolate the reader and writer. The fact that there is another element, i.e., overcoat 12, that allows some electrical charge to move there through and is connected to the writer and the reader does not negate the fact that the insulating material of Zhu for itself meets the claimed structure and function as it concerns the claimed "electrically insulating material". Further, it can be argued that the overcoat being connected to ground establishes electrical isolation between the reader and writer elements in that electrical charges from the reader and writer will not move into each other but rather will move towards ground.

B...On page $11 - 2^{nd}$ full paragraph, applicant states that Zhu only discloses resistors having a resistance of 10 kilo Ohms or more which is a range that only

Art Unit: 2627

encompasses large resistances, and on page 12 mentions that claims 5, 12, and 18 each specify a range of possible resistances for a resistor that encompasses much smaller resistances than those disclosed by Zhu.

In response it is noted that 10 kilo Ohms falls within the claimed range of 1 ohm to 1 mega Ohm as set forth in claim 5, 12, and 18. Zhu does not have to disclose all the values within a claimed range to be properly applied against the claim, but rather just must disclose at least one value within the claimed range.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferson Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-7574. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 2627

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, çall 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jefferson Evans Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

JAE

July 28, 2007

JEFFERSON EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER